

Marina Bondi, Fabiola Notari & Matteo Socciarelli **Individuality and Commonality in Thesis Writing: Designing EAP Materials for Classroom Activities**

Adamson, J. L., Coulson, D., & Fujimoto-Adamson, N. (2019). Supervisory practices in English-medium undergraduate and postgraduate Applied Linguistics thesis writing: Insights from Japan-based tutors. *The Asian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 6(1), 14-27.

Akinwamide, T. K. (2012). The influence of process approach on English as second language students' performances in essay writing. *English Language Teaching*, 5(3), 16-29.

<https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v5n3p16>

Al Bloushi, B. J. (2024). The theoretical merits and practical challenges of adopting an ESAP methodology in teaching ESP, with an emphasis on the pragmatic viability of an EGAP approach. *British Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 4(1), 17-26. <https://doi.org/10.32996/bjal.2024.4.1.3>

Anastasiadou, A. (2014). The impact of the application of a process writing component on the students' writing output in English as a foreign language. In N. Lavidas, T. Alexiou, & A. M. Sougari (Eds.), *Major Trends in Theoretical and Applied Linguistics 3* (pp. 11-32). Sciendo Migration.

<https://doi.org/10.2478/9788376560915.p2>

Andrews, R. (2010). *Argumentation in Higher Education*. Routledge.

<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203872710>

Aull, L. (2017). Corpus analysis of argumentative versus explanatory discourse in writing task genres. *Journal of Writing Analytics*, 1(1), 1-47. <https://doi.org/10.37514/JWA-J.2017.1.1.03>

Badger, R., & White, G. (2000). A process genre approach to teaching writing. *ELT Journal*, 54(2), 153-160. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153>

Bhatia, V. K. (1993). *Analysing Genre: Language Use in Professional Settings*. Longman.

Bitchener, J., & Basturkmen, H. (2006). Perceptions of the difficulties of postgraduate L2 thesis students writing the discussion section. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 5(1), 4-18.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.10.002>

Bloomberg, L. D., & Volpe, M. (2008). *Completing Your Qualitative Dissertation: A Roadmap from Beginning to End*. SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452226613>

Bondi, M., & Diani, G. (2010). Conveying deontic values in English and Italian contracts: A cross-cultural analysis. *ESP Across Cultures*, 7, 7-24.

Bondi, M., Socciarelli, M., & Notari, F. (2024). Academic socialisation and the thesis genre: Reflections on a pilot study. In A. Hornung, & R. Zanin (Eds.), *Abenteuer Sprache und Sprachen: Festschrift für Hans Druml zum 80. Geburtstag* (pp. 189-209). Stauffenburg Verlag.

Britton, J. (1975). *The Development of Writing Abilities*. Macmillan.

Bunton, D. (2002). Generic moves in Ph.D. thesis introductions. In J. Flowerdew (Ed.), *Academic discourse* (pp. 57-75). Pearson Education Limited.

Bunton, D. (2005). The structure of Ph.D. conclusion chapters. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 4(3), 207-224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.03.004>

- Cheng, A. (2007). Transferring generic features and recontextualizing genre awareness: Understanding writing performance in the ESP genre-based literacy framework. *English for Specific Purposes*, 26(3), 287-307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2006.12.002>
- Dewey, J. (1966). *Democracy and Education*. Free Press.
- Dong, Y. R. (1998). Non-native graduate students' thesis/dissertation writing in science: Self-reports by students and their advisors from two U.S. institutions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 17(4), 369-390. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(97\)00054-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(97)00054-9)
- Dudley-Evans, T. (1999). The dissertation: A case of neglect? In P. Thompson (Ed.), *Issues in EAP writing research and instruction* (pp. 28-36). CALS.
- Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M. J. (1998). *Developments in English for Specific Purposes: An Interdisciplinary Approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ekengren, M., Matzén, N., Rhinard, M., & Svantesson, M. (2006). Solidarity or sovereignty? EU cooperation in civil protection. *European Integration*, 28(5), 457-476. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036330600979672>
- Ferguson, G., Pérez-Llantada, C., & Plo, R. (2011). English as an international language of scientific publication: A study of attitudes. *World Englishes*, 30(1), 41-59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-971X.2010.01656.x>
- Florida International University (2023). *Writing a Literature Review and Using a Synthesis Matrix*. https://case.fiu.edu/writingcenter/online-resources/_assets/synthesis-matrix-2.pdf
- Flowerdew, J., & Habibie, P. (2022). *Introducing English for Research Publication Purposes*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429317798>
- Flowerdew, J., & Wang, S. H. (2015). Identity in academic discourse. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 35, 81-99. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S026719051400021X>
- Galegane, G., & Ntereke, B. B. (2022). Examining the effectiveness of the process-genre writing instructional framework in EAP writing course. *Journal of Pedagogical Sociology and Psychology*, 4(2), 112-130. <https://doi.org/10.33902/JPSP.202217703>
- Gotti, M. (2009). *Commonality and Individuality in Academic Discourse*. Peter Lang.
- Gray, G. C. (2017). Academic voice in scholarly writing. *The Qualitative Report*, 22(1), 179-196. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2017.2362>
- Griffin, C. (Ed.). (2023). *The Practice of English as a Medium of Instruction (EMI) Around the World*. Springer.
- Gross, A. G., & Chesley, P. (2012). Hedging, stance and voice in medical research articles. In K. Hyland, & C. Sancho Guinda (Eds.), *Stance and Voice in Written Academic Genres* (pp. 85-100). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137030825_6
- Hart, C. (2018). *Doing a Literature Review: Releasing the Research Imagination*. SAGE.
- Hewings, M. (1993). The end! How to conclude a dissertation. In G. M. Blue (Ed.), *Language, Learning and Success: Studying Through English* (pp. 105-112). Modern English Publications.

- Hill, C., Khoo, S., & Hsieh, Y. C. (2020). An investigation into the learning transfer of English for Specific Academic Purposes (ESAP) writing skills of students in Singapore. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 48, Article 100908. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2020.100908>
- Huang, Y., & Zhang, L. J. (2019). Does a process-genre approach help improve students' argumentative writing in English as a foreign language? Findings from an intervention study. *Reading and Writing Quarterly: Overcoming Learning Difficulties*, 36(4), 339-364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10573569.2019.1649223>
- Hutchings, C. (2014). Referencing and identity, voice and agency: Adult learners' transformations within literacy practices. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 33(2), 312-324. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2013.832159>
- Hyland, K. (2000). *Disciplinary Discourses: Social Interactions in Academic Writing*. Longman.
- Hyland, K. (2002a). Genre: Language, context, and literacy. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 22, 113-135. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190502000065>
- Hyland, K. (2002b). *Teaching and Researching Writing*. Pearson Education Ltd.
- Hyland, K. (2003). Genre-based pedagogies: A social response to process. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 12, 1-29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(02\)00124-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(02)00124-8)
- Hyland, K. (2004). Graduates' gratitude: The generic structure of dissertation acknowledgements. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23(3), 303-324. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(03\)00051-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(03)00051-6)
- Hyland, K. (2005). *Metadiscourse: Exploring Interaction in Writing*. Continuum.
- Hyland, K. (2007). Genre pedagogy: Language, literacy and L2 writing instruction. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 16(3), 148-164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2007.07.005>
- Hyland, K. (2008). Disciplinary voices: Interactions in research writing. *English Text Construction*, 1(1), 5-22. <https://doi.org/10.1075/etc.1.1.03hyl>
- Hyland, K. (2009). *Academic Discourse: English in a Global Context*. A&C Black.
- Hyland, K. (2010). Community and individuality: Performing identity in applied linguistics. *Written Communication*, 27(2), 159-188. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088309357846>
- Hyland, K. (2012). *Disciplinary Identities: Individuality and Community in Academic Discourse*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009406512>
- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. K. (2019). *Academic Discourse and Global Publishing: Disciplinary Persuasion in Changing Times*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429433962>
- Johns, T. (1991). From print out to handout: Grammar and vocabulary teaching in the context of data-driven learning. In T. Johns, & P. King (Eds.), *ELR Journal 4: Classroom Concordancing* (pp. 27-46). University of Birmingham.
- Jordan, R. R. (1997). *English for Academic purposes: A Guide and Resource Book for Teachers*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511733062>
- Kersten, M. (2023). Learning by doing: The benefits of adopting an online negotiation support system into an English for Academic Purposes course. *Journal of Teaching English for Specific and Academic Purposes*, 11(1), 127-148. <https://doi.org/10.22190/JTESAP220618009K>

Kuteeva, M. (2013). Graduate learners' approaches to genre-analysis tasks: Variations across and within four disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes*, 32(2), 84-96.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2012.11.004>

Kwan, B. S. C. (2006). The schematic structure of literature reviews in doctoral theses of applied linguistics. *English for Specific Purposes*, 25(1), 30-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2005.06.001>

Kwan, B. S. (2008). The nexus of reading, writing and researching in the doctoral undertaking of humanities and social sciences: Implications for literature reviewing. *English for Specific Purposes*, 27(1), 42-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2007.05.002>

Lasagabaster, D., & Doiz, A. (2023). Classroom interaction in English-medium instruction: are there differences between disciplines?. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 36(3), 310-326.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/07908318.2022.2151615>

Lew, M. D., & Schmidt, H. G. (2011). Self-reflection and academic performance: Is there a relationship? *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 16, 529-545. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-011-9298-z>

Li, L. Y., & Vandermensbrugghe, J. (2011). Supporting the thesis writing process of international research students through an ongoing writing group. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 48(2), 195-205. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2011.564014>

Li, Y., & Wang, L. (2018). An ethnographic exploration of adopting project-based learning in teaching English for Academic Purposes. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 15(2), 290-303.

Lillis, T. M., & Curry, M. J. (2010). *Academic Writing in Global Context*. Routledge.

Liu, J. (2022). Voice for Teaching L2 Writing: Affordance or Constraint? *Journal of Language, Identity & Education*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15348458.2022.2145565>

Matsuda, P. K. (2001). Voice in Japanese written discourse: Implications for second language writing. *Journal of Second language writing*, 10(1-2), 35-53. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(00\)00036-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(00)00036-9)

Mauranen, A. (1993). *Cultural Differences in Academic Rhetoric: A Textlinguistic Study*. Peter Lang.

Mauranen, A. (2012). *Exploring ELF: Academic English Shaped by Non-Native Speakers*. Cambridge University Press.

Mauranen, A. (2018). Second language acquisition, world Englishes, and English as a lingua franca (ELF). *World Englishes*, 37(1), 106-119. <https://doi.org/10.1111/weng.12306>

Mauranen, A. (2023). *Reflexively Speaking: Metadiscourse in English as a Lingua Franca*. De Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110295498>

Mendoza, L., Lindblom-Ylänne, S., Lehtonen, T., & Hyytinen, H. (2023). Understanding master's thesis writers in a Finnish EMI context: Writing conceptions, apprehension about grammar, self-efficacy for thesis writing and thesis grade. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 103, Article 102874. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2023.102874>

Mur-Deñas, P., & Šinkunienė, J. (Eds). (2018) *Intercultural Perspectives on Research Writing*. John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/aals.18>

Murray, N. (2022). A model to support the equitable development of academic literacy in institutions of higher education. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 46(8), 1054-1065.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2022.2044019>

Negretti, R., & Kuteeva, M. (2011). Fostering metacognitive genre awareness in L2 academic reading and writing. A case study of pre-service English teachers. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 20(2), 95-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2011.02.002>

Nguyen, T. T. L., & Pramoolsook, I. (2014a). A move-based structure of the master's thesis literature review chapters by Vietnamese TESOL postgraduates. *LangLit: An International Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal*, 1(2), 282-301.

Nguyen, T. T. L., & Pramoolsook, I. (2014b). Rhetorical structure of introduction chapters written by novice Vietnamese TESOL postgraduates. *3L: Language, Linguistics and Literature, The Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*, 20(1), 61-74. <https://doi.org/10.17576/3L-2014-2001-05>

Nguyen, T. T. L., & Pramoolsook, I. (2015a). A move analysis of method chapters by Vietnamese TESOL master's students. *Research Scholar: An International Refereed e-Journal of Literary Explorations*, 3(1), 14-28.

Nguyen, T. T. L., & Pramoolsook, I. (2015b). Move analysis of results-discussion chapters in TESOL Master's theses written by Vietnamese students. *3L, Language, Linguistics, Literature*, 21(2), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.17576/3L-2015-2102-01>

Nistor, V. M. (2020). Developing an experiential learning model for students of English for Academic Purposes to use in their further studies-A reflection. *Scope (Learning & Teaching)*, 9, 51-57.

<https://doi.org/10.34074/scop.4009015>

Novia, F., & Saptarina, E. (2021). Using process writing approach (PWA) to teach writing descriptive text. *Linguists: Journal of Linguistics and Language Teaching*, 7(1), 1-9.

<https://doi.org/10.29300/ling.v7i1.4075>

Paltridge, B. (2002). Thesis and dissertation writing: An examination of published advice and actual practice. *English for Specific Purposes*, 21(2), 125-143. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(00\)00025-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(00)00025-9)

Paltridge, B., & Starfield, S. (2019). *Thesis and Dissertation Writing in a Second Language: A Handbook for Students and Their Supervisors* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315170022>

Paltridge, B., & Starfield, S. (2020). Change and continuity in thesis and dissertation writing: The evolution of an academic genre. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 48, Article 100910.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2020.100910>

Paltridge, B., & Starfield, S. (2023). The PhD by publication in the humanities and social sciences: A cross country analysis. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 1-12.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2023.2190450>

Paltridge, B., Starfield, S., Ravelli, L. J., & Tuckwell, K. (2012). Change and stability: Examining the macrostructures of doctoral theses in the visual and performing arts. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 11(4), 332-344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2012.08.003>

Paré, A., Starke-Meyerring, D., & McAlpine, L. (2011). Knowledge and identity work in the supervision of doctoral student writing: Shaping rhetorical subjects. In D. Starke-Meyerring, & A. Paré (Eds.),

Writing in Knowledge Societies (pp. 215-236). Parlor Press LLC. <https://doi.org/10.37514/PER-B.2011.2379.2.11>

Piaget, J. (1973). *To Understand is to Invent: The Future of Education*. Grossman.

Ravelli, L., Paltridge, B., & Starfield, S. (2014). *Doctoral Writing in the Creative and Performing Arts*. Libri Publishing.

Reynolds, J. A., & Thompson Jr, R. J. (2011). Want to improve undergraduate thesis writing? Engage students and their faculty readers in scientific peer review. *CBE-Life Sciences Education*, 10(2), 209-215. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.10-10-0127>

Römer, U. (2009). English in academia: Does nativeness matter? *Anglistik: International Journal of English Studies*, 20(2), 89-100.

Samraj, B. (2008). A discourse analysis of master's theses across disciplines with a focus on introductions. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 7(1), 55-67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2008.02.005>

Sansom, R. (2018). The Nature of "Voice" in Academic Writing. *Voice and Speech Review*, 12(2), 123-125. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23268263.2018.1480172>

Scardamalia, M., & Bereiter, C. (1987). Knowledge telling and knowledge transforming in written composition. *Advances in Applied Psycholinguistics*, 2, 142-175.

Shaw, P. (1992). Reasons for the correlation of voice, tense, and sentence function in reporting verbs. *Applied linguistics*, 13(3), 302-319. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/13.3.302>

Starfield, S. (2003). The evolution of a thesis-writing course for Arts and Social Sciences students: What can applied linguistics offer? *Hong Kong Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(2), 137-154.

Starfield, S., & Ravelli, L. J. (2006). "The writing of this thesis was a process that I could not explore with the positivistic detachment of the classical sociologist": Self and structure in New Humanities research theses. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 5(3), 222-243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2006.07.004>

Stock, I., & Eik-Nes, N. L. (2016). Voice features in academic texts-A review of empirical studies. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 24, 89-99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2015.12.006>

Sun, Q., Kuzborska, I., & Soden, B. (2022). Learning to construct authorial voice through citations: A longitudinal case study of L2 postgraduate novice writers. *System*, 106, Article 102765 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2022.102765>

Swales, J. M. (1990). *Genre Analysis: English in Academic and Research Settings*. Cambridge University Press.

Swales, J. M. (2004). *Research Genres: Explorations and Applications*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524827>

Swales, J. M. (2016). Reflections on the concept of discourse community. *ASp. la revue du GERAS*, 69, 7-19. <https://doi.org/10.4000/asp.4774>

Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (1994). *Academic Writing for Graduate Students: A Course for Non-Native Speakers of English*. University of Michigan Press.

- Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2012). *Academic Writing for Graduate Students* (3rd ed.). University of Michigan Press. <https://doi.org/10.3998/mpub.2173936>
- Tardy, C. M. (2006). Researching first and second language genre learning: A comparative review and a look ahead. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 15(2), 79-101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2006.04.003>
- Tardy, C. M. (2009). *Building Genre Knowledge*. Parlor Press.
- The University of Arizona (2023). *Synthesis Matrix*. <https://writingcenter.uagc.edu/synthesis-matrix>
- Thompson, P. (2001). *A Pedagogically-Motivated Corpus-Based Examination of Ph.D. Theses: Macrostructure, Citation Practices and Uses of Modal Verbs* [PhD thesis, University of Reading, UK].
- Thompson, P. (2005). Points of focus and position: Intertextual reference in Ph.D. theses. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 4(4), 307-323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.07.006>
- Thompson, P. (2013). Thesis and dissertation writing. In B. Paltridge, & S. Starfield (Eds.), *Handbook of English for Specific Purposes* (pp. 283-299). Blackwell. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118339855.ch15>
- Tribble, C. (2017). ELFA vs. Genre: A new paradigm war in EAP writing instruction?. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 25, 30-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2016.10.003>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wale, B. D., & Bishaw, K. S. (2020). Effects of using inquiry-based learning on EFL students' critical thinking skills. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, 5, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-020-00090-2>
- Walsh, S. (2006). *Investigating Classroom Discourse*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203015711>
- Wu, X., Mauranen, A., & Lei, L. (2020). Syntactic complexity in English as a lingua franca academic writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 43, Article 100798. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2019.100798>
- Xu, X., & Li, X. (2018). Teaching academic writing through a process-genre approach: A pedagogical exploration of an EAP program in China. *TESL-EJ*, 22(2), 1-21.
- Yang, R., & Allison, D. (2003). Research articles in applied linguistics: Moving from results to conclusions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 22(4), 365-385. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(02\)00026-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(02)00026-1)
- Yu, S. (2021). Giving genre-based peer feedback in academic writing: Sources of knowledge and skills, difficulties and challenges. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 46(1), 36-53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2020.1742872>
- Zhang, J. (2012). A cross-cultural study of generic structure and linguistic patterns in M.A. thesis acknowledgements. *The Asian ESP Journal*, 8(1), 141-165.

Antonio M. da Silva & Lucia Rottava **Exploring the Impact of Flipped Learning on Beginner-Level Portuguese Writing Skills: A Systemic Functional Linguistics Perspective**

Ahmed, H. O. K. (2016). Flipped learning as a new educational paradigm: an analytical critical study. *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, 12(10), 417-444. <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2016.v12n10p417>

Birgili, B., Seggie, F. N., & Oğuz, E. (2021). The trends and outcomes of flipped learning research between 2012 and 2018: A descriptive content analysis. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 8(3), 365-394. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-021-00183-y>

Bond, M. (2020). Facilitating student engagement through the flipped learning approach in K-12: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, 151, Article 103819. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103819>

Bredow, C. A., Roehling, P. V., Knorp, A. J., & Sweet, A. M. (2021). To flip or not to flip? A meta-analysis of the efficacy of flipped learning in Higher Education. *Review of Educational Research*, 91(6), 878-918. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543211019122>

Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research methods in education* (8th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315456539>

Eggins, S. (2003). *Introducción a la lingüística sistémica*. Universidad de La Rioja, Servicio de Publicaciones.

Fuzer, C., & Cabral, S. R. S. (2014). *Introdução à gramática sistêmico-funcional em Língua Portuguesa* (1st ed.). Mercado de Letras.

Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). *Language as Social Semiotic: The Social Interpretation of Language and Meaning*. Edward Arnold.

Halliday, M. A. K. (1979). Modes of meaning and modes of expression: types of grammatical structure and their determination by different semantic functions. In D. J. Allerton, E. Carney, & D. Holdcroft (Eds.), *Function and Context in Linguistic Analysis: A Festschrift for William Haas* (pp. 57-79). Cambridge University Press. Reprinted in Halliday, M. A. K. (2002). *On Grammar*, Volume 1 of *The Collected Works of M.A.K. Halliday*, edited by Jonathan Webster (pp. 196-218). Continuum.

Halliday, M. A. K. (1985). *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*. Edward Arnold [3rd ed. Rev. by C. M. I. M. Matthiessen, 2004; 4th ed. Rev. by C. M. I. M. Matthiessen, 2014].

Halliday, M. A. K. (1994). *An Introduction to Functional Grammar* (2nd ed.). Edward Arnold.

Halliday, M. A. K. (2010). *Text, Discourse and Information: A Systemic-Functional Overview*. Paper presented at Tongji University, November 2010.

Halliday, M. A. K., & Hasan, R. (1985). *Language, Context, and Text: Aspects of Language in a Social-Semiotic Perspective*. Oxford University Press.

Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (1999). *Construing Experience through Meaning: A Language-Based Approach*. Cassell.

Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (2014). *Halliday's Introduction to Functional Grammar* (4th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203783771>

Matthiessen, C. M. I. M., Teruya, K., & Lam, M. (2010). Key Terms in Systemic Functional Linguistics. Continuum.

Tesnière, L. (1959). *Éléments de syntaxe structurale*. Librairie C. Klincksieck.

Van Valin, R. D. Jr., & LaPolla, R. J. (1997). *Syntax: Structure, Meaning and Function*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139166799>

Margarita Vidal Lizama Thesis Writing Workshop: A Case in Postgraduate Education in Spanish from an SFL-Grounded Approach

Bassa Figueredo, L., & Moyano, E. I. (2021). Acerca de una experiencia de formación docente fundada en la didáctica de géneros: configuración del objeto de enseñanza e interacción pedagógica. *Signo*, Santa Cruz so Sul, 46(86), 74-85. <https://doi.org/10.17058/signo.v46i86.16062>

Brisk, M. E. (2016). Genres in writing collaborative project. In H. De Silva Joyce, & S. Feez (Eds.), *Exploring Literacies: Theory, Research and Practice* (pp. 287-292). Palgrave Macmillan.

Brisk, M. E., & Ossa-Parra, M. (in press). Mainstream Classrooms as Engaging Spaces for Emergent Bilinguals: SFL theory, Catalyst for Change. In R. Harman (Ed.), *Critical Systemic Functional Linguistics: Promoting Language Awareness and Social Action among K-12 Students and Teachers*. Springer.

Chois-Lenis, P., Guerrero-Jiménez, H. I., & Brambila-Limón, R. (2020). Una mirada analítica a la enseñanza de la escritura en posgrado: Revisión de prácticas documentadas en latinoamérica. *Íkala*, 25(2), 535-556. <https://doi.org/10.17533/udea.ikala.v25n02a09>

Coffin, C. (2006). *Historical Discourse. The Language of Time, Cause and Evaluation*. Continuum.

Coffin, C., Acevedo, C., & Lövestedt, A. C. (2013). *Final Report, Teacher Learning for European Literacy Education (TeL4ELE)*. European Union.

Colombi, C. (2009). A systemic functional approach to teaching Spanish for heritage speakers in the United States. *Linguistics and Education*, 20(1), 39-49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2009.01.004>

Dreyfus, S., Humphrey, S., Mahboob, A., & Martin, J. R. (2016). *Genre Pedagogy in Higher Education. The SLATE Project*. Palgrave Mcmillan.

Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). *Language as Social Semiotic: the Social Interpretation of Language and Meaning*. Edward Arnold.

Halliday, M. A. K., & Hasan, R. (1976). *Cohesion in English*. Longman.

Halliday, M. A. K., & Martin, J. R. (Eds.) (1993). *Writing Science. Literacy and Discursive Power*. Routledge.

Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (2014). *Halliday's Introduction to Functional Grammar* (4th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203783771>

Hao, J. (2020). *Analysing Scientific Discourse from a Systemic Functional Linguistic Perspective: A Framework for Exploring Knowledge Building in Biology*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351241052>

- Herazo, J. (2012). Using a genre-based approach to promote oral communication in the Colombian English classroom. *Colombian Applied Linguistics Journal*, 14, 109-126.
<https://doi.org/10.14483/udistrital.jour.calj.2012.2.a07>
- Hood, S. (2010). *Appraising Research: Evaluation in Academic Writing*. Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230274662>
- Hood, S. (2012). Voice and Stance as appraisal: Persuading and Positioning in Research Writing Across Intellectual Fields. In K. Hyland, & C. Sancho-Guinda (Eds.), *Stance and Voice in Written Academic Genres* (pp. 51-68). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137030825_4
- Humphrey, S. (2016). *Academic Literacies in the Middle Years: A Framework for Enhancing Teacher Knowledge and Student Achievement*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315625584>
- Hyland, K., & Sancho-Guinda, C. (Eds.) (2012). *Stance and Voice in Written Academic Genres*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137030825>
- Kartika-Ningsih, H. (2022). The Reading to Learn Bilingual Programme. In D. Cadwell, J. S. Knox, & J. R. Martin (Eds.), *Applicable Linguistics and Social Semiotics: Developing Theory from Practice* (pp. 141-156). Bloomsbury. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781350109322.ch-8>
- Leiva, N. (2022). Conexiones causales en español: un recurso semántico-discursivo para explicar el pasado reciente en la Historia escolar. *Estudios Filológicos*, 69, 135-161.
<https://doi.org/10.4067/S0071-17132022000100135>
- Martin, J. R. (1992). *English Text. System and Structure*. John Benjamins.
<https://doi.org/10.1075/z.59>
- Martin, J. R. (1994). Macrogenres: The ecology of the page. *Network*, 21, 21-52.
- Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (2007). *Working with Discourse: Meaning Beyond the Clause*. Continuum.
- Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (2008). *Genre Relations. Mapping Culture*. Equinox.
- Martin, J.R. & White, P. (2005). *The Language of Evaluation*. Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230511910>
- Matthiessen, C. M. I. M., & Halliday, M. A. K. (2009). *Systemic Functional Grammar: A First Step into the Theory*. Higher Education Press.
- Moyano, E. I. (2007). Enseñanza de la lectura y la escritura basada en la teoría de género y registro de la LSF: resultados de una investigación. *Revista Signos*, 40(65), 573-608.
<https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-09342007000300009>
- Moyano, E. I. (2010). Aportes del análisis de género y discurso a los procesos de enseñanza y aprendizaje escolar: las ciencias biológicas y la historia. *Discurso & Sociedad*, 4(2), 294-331.
<https://doi.org/10.14198/dissoc.4.2.5>
- Moyano, E. I. (2017). Diseño e implementación de programas de lectura y escritura en el nivel universitario: principios y estrategias. *Lenguas Modernas*, 50, 47-72.
- Moyano, E. I. (2018). La enseñanza de la lectura y la escritura académicas mediante un programa a lo largo del curriculum universitario: opción teórica, didáctica y de gestión. In L. Rottava, & R. Esteves De Lima-Lopes (Eds.). Número especial en homenaje a la Dra. Leila Barbara. *Revista D.E.L.T.A.*, 34(1), 235-267. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-445074896274115057>

Moyano, E. I. (2021). La función de Tema en español: Sus medios de realización desde la perspectiva trinocular de la Lingüística Sistémico-Funcional. *Revista Signos. Estudios de Lingüística*, 54(106), 487-517. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-09342021000200487>

Oteiza, T. (2020). Sistema de compromiso en español escrito - dialogicidad en el campo del discurso de la historia. *Boletín de Filología Anejo*, 3(2), 799-819.

Ramírez, A. (2020). The case for culturally and linguistically relevant pedagogy: bilingual reading to learn for Spanish-speaking immigrant mothers. *System*, 95, Article 102379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2020.102379>

Rose, D. (2020a). Building a pedagogic metalanguage I: curriculum genres. In J. R. Martin, K. Maton, & Y. J. Doran (Eds.). *Accessing Academic Discourse: Systemic Functional Linguistics and Legitimation Code Theory* (pp. 236-267). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429280726-9>

Rose, D. (2020b). Building a pedagogic metalanguage II: knowledge genres. In J. R. Martin, K. Maton, & Y. J. Doran (Eds.). *Accessing Academic Discourse: Systemic Functional Linguistics and Legitimation Code Theory* (pp. 268-302). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429280726-10>

Rose, D., & Martin, J. R. (2012). *Learning to Write, Reading to Learn*. Equinox.

Rothery, J., & Stenglin, M. (1995) *Exploring Literacy in School English*. Write It Right Resources for Literacy and Learning. Metropolitan East Disadvantaged Schools Program.

Vidal Lizama, M. (2020). Aproximación al ensayo académico como género de formación en Ciencias Sociales: el caso de Sociología. *D.E.L.T.A.*, 36(4), 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-460x2020360405>

Vidal Lizama, M. (2021). Aplicaciones del Programa Leer para Aprender en el nivel universitario: principios, desafíos y adaptaciones. *Signo*, 46(86), 164-178. <https://doi.org/10.17058/signo.v46i86.16031>

Martin Testa Metadiscourse in Italian Linguistics: An Overview and Practical Applications for Academic Writing

Abraham, W. (1991). Discourse particles in German: How does their illocutionary force come about? In A. Werner (Ed.), *Discourse Particles: Descriptive and Theoretical Investigations on the Logical, Syntactic, and Pragmatic Properties of Discourse Particles in German* (pp. 203-252). John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/pbns.12>

Ädel, A. (2006). *Metadiscourse in L1 and L2 English*. John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/scl.24>

Ädel, A., & Mauranen, A. (2010). Metadiscourse: Diverse and divided perspectives. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 9(2), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.35360/njes.215>

Aijmer, K. (2002). *English Discourse Particles: Evidence from a Corpus*. John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/scl.10>

Andorno, C. (2003). *Linguistica testuale. Un'introduzione*. Carocci.

Bax, S., Nakatsuhara, F., & Waller, D. (2019). Researching L2 writers' use of metadiscourse markers at intermediate and advanced levels. *System*, 83, 79-95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2019.02.010>

Bazzanella, C. (1985). L'uso dei connettivi nel parlato, alcune proposte. In A. Franchi De Bellis, & L. M. Savoia (Eds.), *Sintassi e morfologia della lingua italiana d'uso*, Atti del XVI Congresso Internazionale di Studi della SLI (pp. 83-93). Bulzoni.

Bazzanella, C. (1995). I segnali discorsivi. In L. Renzi, G. Salvi, & A. Cardinaletti (Eds.), *Grande grammatica italiana di consultazione* (pp. 225-257). Il Mulino.

Bazzanella, C. (2011). Segnali discorsivi. In R. Simone (Ed.), *Enciclopedia dell'italiano*. Istituto della Enciclopedia Italiana, Treccani. [https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/segnali-discorsivi_\(Enciclopedia-dell'Italiano\)](https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/segnali-discorsivi_(Enciclopedia-dell'Italiano))

Berretta, M. (1984). Connettivi testuali e pianificazione del discorso. In L. Coveri (Ed.), *Linguistica testuale*, Atti del XV congresso internazionale della Società di Linguistica Italiana (Genova - Santa Margherita Ligure, 8-10 maggio 1981) (pp. 237-254). Bulzoni.

Bolzoni, L. (2013). Tracce di certezza e incertezza: proposta per una classificazione di mitigatori ed enfattizzatori nel discorso scientifico. In L. Sergo, U. Wienen, & V. Atayan (Eds.), *Fachsprache(n) in der Romania: Entwicklung, Verwendung* (pp. 235-252). Frank & Timme.

Bondi, M. (2010). Metadiscursive practices in introductions: Phraseology and semantic sequences across genres. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 9(2), 99-123. <https://doi.org/10.35360/njes.219>

Bondi, M. (2011). Metadiscursive practices in academic discourse: Variation across genres and disciplines. In J. Bamford, & M. Bondi (Eds.), *Dialogue Within Discourse Communities: Metadiscursive Perspectives on Academic Genres* (pp. 3-30). De Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110933222.3>

Brinton, L. J. (1996). *Pragmatic Markers in English: Grammaticalization and Discourse Functions*. Mouton de Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110907582>

Cardinaletti, A., & Repetti, L. (2008). The phonology and syntax of preverbal and postverbal subject clitics in Northern Italian Dialects. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 39(4), 523-563. <https://doi.org/10.1162/ling.2008.39.4.523>

Chafe, W. (1987). Cognitive constraints on information flow. In R. Tomlin (Ed.), *Coherence and Grounding in Discourse* (pp. 21-51). Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/tsl.11.03cha>

Colella, G. (2017). Funzioni metadiscorsive dei marcatori modali epistemici nella prosa accademica. In F. Bianco, & J. Špička (Eds.), *Perché scrivere? Motivazioni, scelte, risultati* (pp. 261-273). Franco Cesati.

Conte, M.-E. (1977). *La linguistica testuale*. Feltrinelli.

Conte, M.-E. (1999). Metatestualità. In M.-E. Conte, *Condizioni di coerenza. Ricerche di linguistica testuale* (2nd ed.) (pp. 47-50). Edizioni dell'Orso. (Original work published in 1988).

Contento, S. (1994). I marcatori discorsivi del colloquio psicologico. In F. Orletti (Ed.), *Fra conversazione e discorso. L'analisi dell'interazione verbale* (pp. 217-232). Carocci.

Crismore, A. (1989). *Talking with Readers: Metadiscourse as Rhetorical Act*. Peter Lang.

Crismore, A., Markkanen, R., & Steffensen, M. (1993). Metadiscourse in persuasive writing: a study of texts written by American and Finnish university students. *Written Communication*, 10(1), 39-71. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088393010001002>

- Dahl, T. (2004). Textual metadiscourse in research articles: A marker of national culture or of academic discipline? *Journal of Pragmatics*, 36(10), 1807-1825.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2004.05.004>
- D'Angelo, L., & Consonni, S. (2020). A tale of three waves: Or, concerning the history and theory of metadiscourse. *Ibérica*, 40, 13-34. <https://doi.org/10.17398/2340-2784.40.13>
- Degand, L. (2014). 'So very fast very fast then': Discourse markers at left and right periphery in spoken French. In K. Beeching, & U. Detges (Eds.), *Discourse Functions at the Left and Right Periphery: Crosslinguistic Investigations of Language Use and Language Change* (pp. 151-178). Brill.
https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004274822_008
- De Marco, A. (2023). L'insegnamento esplicito nello sviluppo della competenza pragmatica in L2/LS Il caso dei segnali discorsivi. In P. E. Balboni, F. Caon, M. Menegale, & G. Serragiotto (Eds.), *La linguistica educativa tra ricerca e sperimentazione. Scritti in onore di Carmel Mary Coonan* (pp. 83-92). Edizioni Ca' Foscari. <https://doi.org/10.30687/978-88-6969-683-1/008>
- Diadori, P. (2020). Scrittura accademica e punteggiatura in italiano L2. *Italiano LinguaDue*, 12(1), 182-195.
- Dik, S. C. (1997). *The Theory of Functional Grammar. Part 1: The Structure of the Clause*. Ed. by Kees Hengeveld (2nd ed.). Mouton de Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110218367>
- Dyda, A. (2021). Leggibilità e comprensibilità del linguaggio medico attraverso i testi dei foglietti illustrativi in italiano e in polacco. Peter Lang. <https://doi.org/10.3726/b18558>
- Ferrari, A. (2010). Connettivi. In R. Simone (Ed.), *Enciclopedia dell'italiano* (pp. 271-273). Istituto della Enciclopedia Italiana, Treccani.
- Ferrari, A. (2014). *Linguistica del testo. Principi, fenomeni, strutture*. Carocci.
- Ferrari, A., Lala, L., & Zampese, L. (2021). *Le strutture del testo scritto. Teoria e esercizi*. Carocci.
- Ferreri, S. (2005). *L'alfabetizzazione lessicale: studi di linguistica educativa*. Aracne.
- Ferrini, C. (2020). *La scrittura social*. Pacini Editore.
- Fraser, B. (1999). What are discourse markers? *Journal of Pragmatics*, 31, 931-952.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166\(98\)00101-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166(98)00101-5)
- Fraser, B. (2006). Towards a theory of discourse markers. In K. Fischer (Ed.), *Approaches to Discourse Particles* (pp. 189-204). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1163/9780080461588_012
- Ghezzi, C. (2014). The development of discourse and pragmatic markers. In C. Ghezzi, & P. Molinelli (Eds.), *Discourse and Pragmatic Markers from Latin to the Romance Languages* (pp. 10-26). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199681600.003.0002>
- Ghezzi, C. (2022). *Vagueness Markers in Italian. Age Variation and Pragmatic Change*. FrancoAngeli.
- Giannoni, D. S. (2005). Negative evaluation in academic discourse. A comparison of English and Italian research articles. *Linguistica e Filologia*, 20, 71-99.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1970). Language structure and language function. In J. Lyons (Ed.), *New Horizons in Linguistics* (pp. 140-165). Penguin.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1989). *Spoken and Written Language*. Oxford University Press.

- Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (2014). *Halliday's Introduction to Functional Grammar* (4th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203783771>
- Hansen, M. B. M. (2006). A dynamic polysemy approach to the lexical semantics of discourse markers (with an exemplary analysis of French "toujours"). In K. Fischer (Ed.), *Approaches to Discourse Particles* (pp. 21-41). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1163/9780080461588_003
- Harris, Z. (1959). The transformational model of language structure. *Anthropological Linguistics*, 1(1), 27-29.
- Hyland, K. (1998). Persuasion and context: The pragmatics of academic metadiscourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 30, 437-455. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166\(98\)00009-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166(98)00009-5)
- Hyland, K. (2005). *Metadiscourse. Exploring Interaction in Writing*. Continuum.
- Hyland, K. (2017). Metadiscourse: What is it and where is it going? *Journal of Pragmatics*, 113, 16-29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2017.03.007>
- Hyland, K., & Bondi, M. (2006). Introduction. In K. Hyland, & M. Bondi (Eds.), *Academic Discourse Across Disciplines* (pp. 7-13). Peter Lang. <https://doi.org/10.3726/978-3-0351-0446-2>
- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. K. (2018). "In this paper we suggest": changing patterns of disciplinary metadiscourse. *English for Specific Purposes*, 51, 18-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2018.02.001>
- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. K. (2020). Text-organizing metadiscourse: tracking changes in rhetorical persuasion. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics*, 21(1), 137-164. <https://doi.org/10.1075/jhp.00039.hyl>
- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. K. (2022). Metadiscourse: the evolution of an approach to texts. *Text&Talk*, 2022, 1-23.
- Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2004). Metadiscourse in academic writing: A reappraisal. *Applied Linguistics*, 25(2), 156-177. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/25.2.156>
- Hyland, K., Wang, W., & Jiang, F. K. (2022). Metadiscourse across languages and genres: An overview. *Lingua*, 265, Article 103205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2021.103205>
- Kaltenböck, G., Heine, B., & Kuteva, T. (2011). On thetical grammar. *Studies in Language*, 35, 848-893. <https://doi.org/10.1075/sl.35.4.03kal>
- Klimová, K. (2017). Alcuni tratti della retorica persuasivo-promozionale nei testi accademici in italiano. *Analele Universității din Craiova. Seria Științe Filologice. Lingvistică*, 1(2), 90-110.
- La Grassa, M. (2020). *Scrivere nelle università: testi e attività*. Pacini Editore.
- Lombardi Vallauri, E. (2009). *La struttura informativa. Forma e funzione negli enunciati linguistici*. Carocci.
- Lyons, J. (1977). *Semantics. Volume 2*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511620614>
- Malavasi, D. (2023). Metadiscursive constructions in linguistics essays by Italian EFL vs. L1 English university students. *Iperstoria. Journal of American and English Studies*, 22, 271-288.
- Marinetto, P. (2020). Schemi e macrostrutture del testo espositivo: un ruolo attivo nella comprensione. *Italiano LinguaDue*, 12(2), 181-202.

- Masi, S. (2013). Metadiscourse in English and Italian: An analysis of popular scientific discourse online. In S. Kermas, & T. Christiansen (Eds.), *The Popularization of Specialized Discourse and Knowledge Across Communities and Cultures* (pp. 315-329). Edipuglia.
- Mastrantonio, D. (2020). I connettivi e i segnali discorsivi. In M. Dardano (Ed.), *Sintassi dell'italiano antico. La prosa del Duecento e del Trecento*, vol. II: *La frase semplice* (pp. 682-731). Carocci.
- Mastrantonio, D. (2021a). L'italiano scritto accademico: problemi descrittivi e proposte didattiche. *Italiano LinguaDue*, 13(1), 348-368.
- Mastrantonio, D. (2021b). Connettivi. In G. Antonelli, M. Motolese, & R. Tomasin (Eds.), *Storia dell'italiano scritto*, v. *Testualità* (pp. 221-257). Carocci.
- Mauranen, A. (1993). *Cultural Differences in Academic Rhetoric: A Textlinguistic Study*. Peter Lang.
- Mauranen, A. (2010). Discourse reflexivity - A discourse universal? The case of ELF. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 9(2), 13-40. <https://doi.org/10.35360/njes.216>
- Molinelli, P. (2017). Segnali discorsivi e segnali pragmatici: sensibilità al mutamento e alla variazione sociolinguistica. *Linguistica e Filologia*, 37, 121-154.
- Mortara Garavelli, B. (2003). *Prontuario di punteggiatura*. Laterza.
- Ni, Y. (2023a). L'uso del metadiscorso nella scrittura accademica in L1 e L2: il caso di apprendenti sinofoni. *Studi di Glottodidattica*, 8(1), 15-30.
- Ni, Y. (2023b). L'acquisizione dei marcatori metadiscorsivi negli apprendenti sinofoni d'italiano L2 e LS: Una ricerca longitudinale. *Forum Italicum*, 57(3), 999-1018. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00145858231190055>
- Nuzzo, E., & Santoro, E. (2017). Apprendimento, insegnamento e uso di competenze pragmatiche in italiano L2/LS: la ricerca a partire dagli anni Duemila. *EuroAmerican Journal of Applied Linguistics and Languages*, 2, 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.21283/2376905X.7.116>
- Östman, J.-O. (1981). "You know": A Discourse Functional Approach. John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/pb.ii.7>
- Palermo, M. (2013). *Linguistica testuale dell'italiano*. Il Mulino.
- Pastucha-Blin, A. (2015). Il metadiscorso nei testi persuasivi. *Neophilologica*, 27, 164-173.
- Pearson, W. S., & Abdollahzadeh (2023). Metadiscourse in academic writing: A systematic review. *Lingua*, 293, 1-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2023.103561>
- Pizziconi, S. (2020). *La scrittura scientifica*. Pacini Editore.
- Prandi, M. (2006). *Le regole e le scelte. Introduzione alla grammatica italiana*. UTET.
- Prandi, M. (2023). Ma però... Al confine tra frase e testo. *Italiano LinguaDue*, 15(2), 417-446. <https://doi.org/10.54103/2037-3597/21962>
- Roulet, E., Fillietaz, L., & Grobet, A. (2001). *Un modèle et un instrument d'analyse de l'organisation du discours*. Peter Lang.
- Sabatini, F. (1999). "Rigidità-esplicitzza" vs "elasticità-implicitzza". Possibili parametri massimi per una tipologia dei testi. In G. Skytte, & F. Sabatini (Eds.), *Linguistica testuale comparativa. Atti del*

convegno interannuale della Società di linguistica italiana (Copenhagen, 5-7 febbraio 1998) (pp. 141-172). Museum Tusculanum Press.

Sansò, A. (2020). I segnali discorsivi. Carocci.

Scarpa, F. (2018). Fattori pragmatici esterni e interni nella traduzione dei testi tecnico-scientifici. *Rivista Internazionale di Tecnica della Traduzione / International Journal of Translation*, 20, 179-194.

Schiffrin, D. (1987). *Discourse Markers*. Cambridge University Press.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511611841>

Serianni, L. (1988). *Grammatica italiana. Italiano comune e lingua letteraria*. UTET.

Siebetcheu, R. (2020). *La scrittura giornalistica e la scrittura online di divulgazione scientifica*. Pacini Editore.

Simone, R. (2005). *Fondamenti di linguistica* (16th ed.). Laterza.

Spina S. (2010). AIWL: Una lista di frequenza dell'italiano accademico. In S. Bolasco, I. Chiari, & L. Giuliano (Eds.), *Jadt2010, Statistical Analysis of Textual Data, Proceedings of the 10th International Conference "Journées d'Analyse statistique des Données Textuelles"* (pp. 1317-1325). LED.

Stame, S. (1994). Su alcuni usi di "no" come marcatore pragmatico. In F. Orletti (Ed.), *Fra conversazione e discorso. L'analisi dell'interazione verbale* (pp. 205-216). Carocci.

Swales, J. (1990). *Genre Analysis: English in Academic and Research Settings*. Cambridge University Press.

Swales, J. (2004). *Research Genres: Explorations and Applications*. Cambridge University Press.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524827>

Testa, M. (2023). Scrittura e sprezzatura: un approccio funzionale alla didattica della scrittura accademica. *Italiano LinguaDue*, 15(2), 618-638. <https://doi.org/10.54103/2037-3597/21979>

Thompson, G. (2001). Interaction in academic writing: Learning to argue with the reader. *Applied Linguistics*, 22(1), 58-78. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/22.1.58>

Van Dijk, T. (1979). Pragmatic connectives. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 3, 447-456.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-2166\(79\)90019-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-2166(79)90019-5)

Van Impe, F. (2019). *L'uso dei segnali discorsivi in italiano L2. Studio di un corpus di compiti scritti di studenti nederlandofoni dell'Università di Ghent* [Master's thesis, Universiteit Gent].

Van Patten, B. (2004). Input processing in second language acquisition. In B. Van Patten (Ed.), *Processing Instruction: Theory, Research, and Commentary* (pp. 5-32). Lawrence Erlbaum.

Vande Kopple, W. (1985). Some exploratory discourse on metadiscourse. *College Composition and Communication*, 36, 82-93. <https://doi.org/10.58680/cc198511781>

Vergaro, C. (2005). 'Dear Sirs, I hope you will find this information useful': discourse strategies in Italian and English 'For Your Information' (FYI) letters. *Discourse Studies*, 7(1), 109-135.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445605048769>

Voghera, M. (2017). *Dal parlato alla grammatica*. Carocci.

Xu, F. (2015). A study of stance markers in second language academic writing. *Foreign Languages and Their Teaching*, 5, 1-7.

Ziggiotto, A. (2016). Learning to Write at University Level in Italy: A Longitudinal Corpus-Based Study of Interpersonal and Textual Metadiscourse [Master's thesis, Università degli Studi di Padova].

Elena Ballarin In the Lab with the Thesis: A Case Study of TesiLab

Ballarin, E. (2017). *L'italiano accademico. Uno studio sulla glottodidattica dell'italiano lingua di studio all'università a studenti in mobilità internazionale*. Edizioni Accademiche Italiane.

Ballarin, E., & Nitti, P. (2020). Strategie glottodidattiche per l'italiano accademico: un'indagine sull'interferenza delle L1 nell'interlingua della L2 in ambito accademico. In E. Bonetto, M. J. Ennis, & D. Unterkofler (Eds.), *Teaching Languages for Specific and Academic Purposes in Higher Education – English, Deutsch, Italiano* (pp. 211–226). bu.press. https://doi.org/10.13124/9788860461551_12

Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2016). *Flip Your Classroom. La didattica capovolta* (S. Pascarella, Trans.). Giunti Scuola.

Bonaiuti, G., Calvani, A., Menichetti, L., & Vivanet, G. (2017). *Le tecnologie educative*. Carocci.

Borghetti, C., & Pugliese, R. (2021). Insegnare la scrittura accademica per le discipline e nelle discipline: una sperimentazione sull'italiano. In G. Fiorentino, & C. Citraro (Eds.), *Percorsi didattici di alfabetizzazione. 'Buone pratiche' per l'italiano L2 e L1* (pp. 185–201). Cesati.

Cerruti, M., & Cini, M. (2007). *Introduzione elementare alla scrittura accademica*. Gius. Laterza & Figli.

Ciliberti, A., & Anderson, L. (1999). *Le forme della comunicazione accademica*. FrancoAngeli.

Coonan, C. M., Bier, A., & Ballarin, E. (Eds.) (2018). *La didattica delle lingue nel nuovo millennio. Le sfide dell'internazionalizzazione*. Edizioni Ca' Foscari. <https://doi.org/10.30687%2F978-88-6969-227-7>

Cortelazzo, M. A. (2011). s.v. scienza, lingua della. In R. Simone (Ed.), *Enciclopedia dell'Italiano* (pp. 1281–1283). Istituto dell'Enciclopedia Italiana, Treccani. [https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/lingua-della-scienza_\(Enciclopedia-dell'Italiano\)/](https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/lingua-della-scienza_(Enciclopedia-dell'Italiano)/)

D'Aguanno, D., & Tarallo, C. (2020). L'italiano scritto accademico: percorsi didattici e correzioni. In M. Dota, G. Polimeni, & M. Prada (Eds.), *Scrivere oggi all'università*. Università degli Studi di Milano, 6–7 maggio 2019 (pp. 145–168). Università degli Studi di Milano. <https://doi.org/10.54103/2037-3597/1>

De Antoni, G., & Zambelli, M. L. (2004). Studiare e ricordare. In M. Cecchini (Ed.), *Fare, conoscere, parlare. Abilità linguistiche, capacità operative e processi di apprendimento* (pp. 161–178). FrancoAngeli.

De Mauro, T. (2008). *Analfabeti d'Italia. Internazionale*, 734. <https://slowforward.net/2008/04/13/tullio-de-mauro-analfabeti-ditalia-da-httpinternazionaleit/>

De Mauro, T. (2014). L'italiano per capire e per studiare. In A. Colombo, & G. Pallotti (Eds.), *L'italiano per capire* (pp. 19–28). Aracne.

Dörnyei, Z. (2007). *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*. Oxford University Press.

- Gualdo, R., & Telve, S. (2011). *Linguaggi specialistici dell'italiano*. Carocci.
- La Grassa, M. (2020). *Scrivere nelle università: testi e attività*. Pacini editore.
- Lavinio, C. (1998). Lingue speciali e tipi di testo, tra argomentazione, esposizione e descrizione. In M. Pavesi, & G. Bernini (Eds.), *Le lingue speciali all'Università. Atti del convegno di Pavia. 28–29 ottobre 1996* (pp. 143–171). Bulzoni.
- Lavinio, C. (2011). (In)competenze metalinguistiche di base in laureati in Lettere. *Italiano LinguaDue*, 3(2), 258–291. <https://doi.org/10.13130/2037-3597/1925>
- Lavinio, C., & Sobrero, A. (Eds.) (1991). *La lingua degli studenti universitari*. La Nuova Italia.
- Lubello, S. (2019). L'italiano scritto accademico all'università tra L1 e L2: riflessioni e proposte per un curriculum. In F. De Giovanni, R. Pellegrino, & F. Rosi (Eds.), *Studi monografici. Lingua, cultura, letteratura: percorsi di insegnamento e di apprendimento* (pp. 178–187). Carocci.
- Mastrantonio, D. (2021). L'italiano scritto accademico: problemi descrittivi e proposte didattiche. *Italiano LinguaDue*, 13(1), 348–368. <https://doi.org/10.13130/2037-3597/15871>
- Palermo M. (2013). *Linguistica testuale dell'italiano*. Il Mulino.
- Pizziconi S. (2020). *La scrittura scientifica*. Pacini Editore.
- Polselli, P., & Fatone, A. (2021). Apprendenti universitari e profili di competenza nella scrittura accademica. *Quaderns d'Italia*, 26, 217–240. <https://doi.org/10.5565/rev/qdi.508>
- Serianni, L. (2015). *Leggere, scrivere, argomentare. Prove ragionate di scrittura*. Laterza.
- Silverman, D. (2020). *Qualitative Research*. Sage Publications Ltd.
- Singh, A. A., & Lukkarila, L. (2017). *Successful Academic Writing. A Complete Guide for Social and Behavioral Scientists*. The Guilford Press.
- Sobrero, A. (1991). Prefazione. In C. Lavinio, & A. Sobrero (Eds.), *La lingua degli studenti universitari* (pp. 1–9). La Nuova Italia.
- Sposetti, P. (2014). Progettare un laboratorio di scrittura. In M. E. Piemontese, & P. Sposetti (Eds.), *La scrittura dalla scuola superiore all'università* (pp. 17–37). Carocci.
- Sposetti P., & Piemontese M. E. (2017). Gli studenti universitari non sanno più scrivere? Una riflessione sulle caratteristiche delle scritture di un campione di studenti universitari italiani e sulle possibili strategie didattiche di intervento. *Studia de Cultura*, 9(3), 144–157. <https://doi.org/10.24917/20837275.9.3.14>
- Testa, M. (2023). Scrittura e sprezzatura: un approccio funzionale alla didattica della scrittura accademica. *Italiano LinguaDue*, 15(2), 618–638. <https://doi.org/10.54103/2037-3597/21979>
- Troncarelli, D. (2021). Scrittura accademica in Italiano L2: strategie di insegnamento e apprendimento per la stesura del testo espositivo. In A. Casadei, F. Fedi, A. Nacinovich, & A. Torre (Eds.), *Letteratura e Scienze Atti delle sessioni parallele del XXIII Congresso dell'ADI (Associazione degli Italianisti)* Pisa, 12-14 settembre 2019. Adi editore. <https://www.italianisti.it/pubblicazioni/atti-di-congresso/letteratura-e-scienze>
- Vedovelli, M. (2020). *Guida all'uso delle parole scientifiche – Leggere, capire, scrivere di scienza*. In M. La Grassa, *Scrivere nelle università: testi e attività* (pp. 5–18). Pacini editore.

Werlich, E. (1976). A Text Grammar of English. Quelle & Meyer.

Ana Alexandra Silva & Maria João Marçalo **Challenges and Strategies in Academic Writing: A Needs Analysis at the University of Évora (Portugal)**

Badley, G. F. (2023). Academic Writing Otherwise: A Ruminant. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 30(6), 541-549. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10778004231183947>

Brown, J. D. (1995). *The Elements of Language Curriculum*. Heinle & Heinle.

Buesco, H., Maia, L., Silva, M., & Rocha, M. (2014). Programa e Metas Curriculares de Português. Ensino Secundário. Governo de Portugal, Ministério da Educação e Ciência.

Guimarães, J. P. (2019). *Escrita Académica: Regras, Estrutura e Colaboração*. CINEP/IPC.

Hwang, S., Lim, J., Lee, R., Matsui, Y., Iguchi, T., Hiraki, T., & Ahn, H. (2023). Is ChatGPT a "Fire of Prometheus" for Non-Native English-Speaking Researchers in Academic Writing? *Korean Journal of Radiology*, 24(10), 952-959. <https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2023.0773>

Hyland, K. (2003). Genre-based pedagogies: A social response to process. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 12(1), 17-29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(02\)00124-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(02)00124-8)

Jarrah, A., Wardat, Y., & Fidalgo, P. (2023). Using ChatGPT in academic writing is (not) a form of plagiarism: What does the literature say? *Online Journal of Communication and Media Technologies*, 13(4), Article e202346. <https://doi.org/10.30935/ojcm/13572>

Langum, V., & Sullivan, K. (2023). Academic Writing: The challenges of doctoral writing in another language in the humanities. *Kulturella Perspektiv - Svensk etnologisk tidskrift*, 29(3), 65-72. <https://doi.org/10.54807/kp.v29.16060>

Mulyono, H., Ningsih, S., Fausia, F., Setiawan, H., Ibarra, F., & Mukminin, A. (2023). Developing an academic writing creativity and self-efficacy among Indonesian TVET instructors: Evaluating an online genre analysis-based academic writing workshop. *Congent Education*, 10(2), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2237319>

Murray, D. (2013). *The Craft of Revision* (5th anniversary ed.). Cengage Learning.

Pilatti, L. A., Cantonari, J. A., & Cechin, M. R. (2023). Como desenvolver a estrutura IMRaD em artigo original. *Retos*, 49(3), 914-925. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v49.99139>

Pinto, M. G. (2015). A escrita académica: um jogo de forças entre a geração de ideias e a sua concretização. *Signo*, 41, 53-71. <https://doi.org/10.17058/signo.v1i1.7325>

Pinto, M. G. (2018). Os meandros da escrita académica. Alguns recados aos estudantes universitários. *Linha D'Água*, 31(1), 9-27. <https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2236-4242.v31i1p9-27>

Utami, S., Andayani, W., & Sumarwati, S. (2023). Utilization of artificial intelligence technology in an academic writing class: How do Indonesian students perceive? *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 15(4), Article ep450. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13419>

Yu, C. (2023). Integrating Information Literacy and Academic Writing: Developing a Self-Assessment Scale of Information-Based Academic Writing. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 49(6), Article 102804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2023.102804>

Oliver James Ballance & Averil Coxhead **Large Language Model AI and Lx Academic Writing: Challenges and Opportunities**

- Astington, J. W., & Dack, L. A. (2008). Theory of Mind. In M. M. Haith, & J. B. Benson (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Infant and Early Childhood Development* (pp. 343–356). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012370877-9.00163-8>
- Bailey, S. (2018). *Academic writing: A handbook for international students* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Bender, E. M., Gebru, T., McMillan-Major, A., & Shmitchell, S. (2021). On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM conference on fairness, accountability, and transparency* (pp. 610–623). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445922>
- Coyle, D. (2007). Content and language integrated learning: Towards a connected research agenda for CLIL pedagogies. *International journal of bilingual education and bilingualism*, 10(5), 543–562. <https://doi.org/10.2167/beb459.0>
- Cumming, A. (2016). Theoretical orientations to L2 writing. In R. Manchón, & P. K. Matsuda (Eds.), *Handbook of Second and Foreign Language Writing* (pp. 65–90). De Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781614511335-006>
- Dale, R. (2021). GPT-3: What's it good for? *Natural Language Engineering*, 27(1), 113–118. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1351324920000601>
- Elbow, P. (1998a). *Writing Without Teachers*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780195120165.001.0001>
- Elbow, P. (1998b). *Writing with power: Techniques for mastering the writing process*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780195120172.001.0001>
- Ferris, D. (2021). Implications of SLA-oriented research for the teaching of L2 writing. In R. M. Manchón, & C. Polio (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Acquisition and Writing*, 385–393. Routledge.
- Ferris, D. R., & Hedgcock, J. S. (2023). *Teaching L2 composition: Purpose, process, and practice*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003004943>
- Flower, L., & Hayes, J. R. (1981). A cognitive process theory of writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 32(4), 365–387. <https://doi.org/10.2307/356600>
- Fyfe, P. (2023). How to cheat on your final paper: Assigning AI for student writing. *AI & Society*, 38(4), 1395–1405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-022-01397-z>
- Gatt, A., & Krahmer, E. (2018). Survey of the state of the art in natural language generation: Core tasks, applications and evaluation. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 61, 65–170. <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.5477>
- Giray, L. (2023). Prompt engineering with ChatGPT: A guide for academic writers. *Annals of Bio-medical Engineering*, 51, 2629–2633. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-023-03272-4>
- Goldberg, Y. (2016). A primer on neural network models for natural language processing. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 57, 345–420. <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.4992>

- Grabe, W. (2012). Notes toward a theory of second language writing. In T. Silva & P. K. Matsuda (Eds.), *On Second Language Writing*, 39–57. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410600899-10>
- Hogue, A., & Oshima, A. (2023). *Longman academic writing series: paragraphs to essays SB w/app, online practice & digital resources lvl 3* (4th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Hu, Z., Wang, L., Lan, Y., Xu, W., Lim, E. P., Bing, L., Xu, X., Poria, S., & Lee, R. K. W. (2023). LLM-adapters: An adapter family for parameter-efficient fine-tuning of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.01933*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2304.01933>
- Hyland, K. (2003). Genre-based pedagogies: A social response to process. *Journal of second language writing*, 12(1), 17–29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1060-3743\(02\)00124-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1060-3743(02)00124-8)
- Hyland, K. (2007). Genre pedagogy: Language, literacy and L2 writing instruction. *Journal of second language writing*, 16(3), 148–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2007.07.005>
- Hyland, K. (2019). *Second language writing* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108693974>
- Hyslop, A. (1995). *Other Minds*. Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-8510-1>
- Ji, Z., Lee, N., Frieske, R., Yu, T., Su, D., Xu, Y., Ishii, E., Bang, Y. J., Madotto, A., & Fung, P. (2023). Survey of hallucination in natural language generation. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(12), 1–38. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3571730>
- Leben, W. (2018). Languages of the World. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Linguistics*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780199384655.013.349>
- Lund, B. D., Wang, T., Mannuru, N. R., Nie, B., Shimray, S., & Wang, Z. (2023). ChatGPT and a new academic reality: Artificial intelligence-written research papers and the ethics of the large language models in scholarly publishing. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 74(5), 570–581. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24750>
- Mahowald, K., Ivanova, A. A., Blank, I. A., Kanwisher, N., Tenenbaum, J. B., & Fedorenko, E. (2023). Dissociating language and thought in large language models: a cognitive perspective. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.06627*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.06627>
- Moffett, J. (1982). Writing, inner speech, and meditation. *College English*, 44(3), 231–246. <https://doi.org/10.2307/377011>
- Mohan, B. A. (1986). *Language and content*. Addison-Wesley.
- Morrison, A. (2023). Meta-Writing: AI and Writing. *Composition Studies*, 51(1), 155–219.
- Oshima, A., & Hogue, A. (2007). *Introduction to Academic Writing* (3rd ed.). Pearson/Longman.
- Otter, D. W., Medina, J. R., & Kalita, J. K. (2021). A survey of the usages of deep learning for natural language processing. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 32(2), 604–624. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2020.2979670>
- Paltridge, B. (2014). Genre and second-language academic writing. *Language Teaching*, 47(3), 303–318. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444814000068>
- Pereira, C. A., Komarlu, T., & Mobeirek, W. (2023). The Future of AI-Assisted Writing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.16641*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.16641>

- Raimes, A. (1998). *Exploring through writing: A process approach to ESL composition*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587065>
- Scardamalia, M., & Bereiter, C. (1987). Knowledge telling and knowledge transforming in written composition. In S. Rosenberg (Ed.), *Advances in applied psycholinguistics*, 2, 142–175. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0142716400008596>
- Silva, T. (2016). An overview of the development of the infrastructure of second language writing studies. In R. Manchón, & P. K. Matsuda (Eds.), *Handbook of Second and Foreign Language Writing* (pp. 19–43). De Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781614511335-004>
- Stahlberg, F. (2020). Neural machine translation: A review. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 69, 343–418. <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.1.12007>
- Straub, R. (2000). The student, the text, and the classroom context: A case study of teacher response. *Assessing writing*, 7(1), 23–55. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1075-2935\(00\)00017-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1075-2935(00)00017-9)
- Turing, A. M. (1950). Computing Machinery and Intelligence. *Mind*, 59(236), 433–460. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mind/lix.236.433>
- Videla, A. (2023). Echoes of Intelligence: Textual interpretation and large language models. *Communications of the ACM*, 66(11), 38–43. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3606011>
- Zamel, V. (1983). The composing processes of advanced ESL students: Six case studies. *TESOL Quarterly*, 17(2), 165–188. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3586647>

Chin-Wen Chien Influence of Gamification Activities and Tools on the Learning of Technical Terms and Academic Writing among Taiwanese Undergraduate and Graduate Students

- Antoniou, K., Mbah, E., & Parmaxi, A. (2016). Teaching Turkish in low tech contexts: Opportunities and challenges. In S. Papadima-Sophocleous, L. Bradley, & S. Thouësnny (Eds.), *CALL communities and culture-short papers from EUROCALL* (pp. 32-36). Research-Publishing.net. <https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2016.eurocall2016.534>
- Arner, T., Aldosari, B., & Morris, B. J. (2017). Teaching students using evidence-based learning strategies through flipped classrooms. In R. Obeid, A. Schwartz, C. Shane-Simpson., & P. J. Brooks (Eds.). *How We Teach Now: The GSTA Guide to Student-Centered Teaching* (pp. 92-106). Society for the Teaching of Psychology.
- Aslan, B., & Seker, H. (2017). Interactive response systems (IRS) Socrative application sample. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 6(1), 167-174. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v6n1p167>
- Awedh, M., Mueen, A., Zafar, B., & Manzoor, U. (2015). Using Socrative and smartphones for the support of collaborative learning. *International Journal on Integrating Technology in Education*, 3(4), 17-24. <https://doi.org/10.5121/ijite.2014.3402>
- Backhouse, A., Wilson, I., & Mackley, D. (2014). Enhancing the formative assessment environment through the use of mobile technologies. *Practice*, 24, 1-19.

Bae, J. H., & Kim, S. K. (2014). Research on educational use of smart-phone applications with smart clicker technique. In H. Y. Jeong, M. S. Obaidat, N. Y. Yeh, & J. Park (Eds.), *Advances in Computer Science and its Applications* (pp. 597-602). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41674-3_85

Baldeón, J., Puig, A., Rodríguez, I., Lopez-Sanchez, M., Grau, S., & Escayola, M. (2015). Gamification of elementary math learning: a game designer role-playing experience with kids. Paper presented at II International Workshop on Gamification in Education: Education, Barcelona, Spain.

Blackburn, M., & Stroud, J. (2015). Voices from 'the other side'-Using personal response systems to support student engagement. In A. Middleton (Ed.), *Smart Learning* (pp. 199-207). Media-Enhanced Learning Special Interest Group and Sheffield Hallam University.

Borrell, J., Cosmas, N., Grymes, J., & Radunzel, J. (2017). The effectiveness of Kahoot! as a pre-lesson assessment tool [Master's thesis, Center for Faculty Excellence].

Budiaty, B. (2017). ICT (Information and communication technology) use: KAHOOT Program for English students' learning booster. *Proceedings Education and Language International Conference*, 1(1), 178-188.

Cardoso, W. (2011). Learning a foreign language with a learner response system: The students' perspective. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 24(5), 393-417. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2011.567354>

ChanLin, L. J. (2017). Flipping the classroom for exploration of the world libraries. *Research Journal of Library and Information Science*, 1(1), 15-24. <https://doi.org/10.22259/2637-5915.0101003>

Chen, C. M., Li, M. C., & Chen, T. C. (2020). A web-based collaborative reading annotation system with gamification mechanisms to improve reading performance. *Computers & Education*, 144, Article 103697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103697>

Cheung, Y. L. (2010). First publications in refereed English journals: Difficulties, coping strategies, and recommendations for student training. *System*, 38(1), 134-141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2009.12.012>

Ciaramella, K. E. (2017). The effects of Kahoot! on vocabulary acquisition and retention of students with learning disabilities and other health impairments [Master's thesis, Rowan University].

Dakka, S. M. (2015). Using Socrative to enhance in-class student engagement and collaboration. *International Journal on Integrating Technology in Education*, 4(3), 13-19. <https://doi.org/10.5121/ijite.2015.4302>

Decker, J. B. (2013). A second look at mobile technology in the classroom: Don't ban it. Use it! *CATESOL Journal*, 24(1), 316-326. <https://doi.org/10.5070/B5.36169>

Fakhr, N., & Khalil, N. (2016). Large classroom predicament resolved: Tackk and Socrative in the flipped approach. Paper presented at the International Conference ICT for Language Learning, Florence, Italy.

Featherstone, M. (2016). Using gamification to enhance self-directed, open learning in higher education. Paper presented at the 10th European Conference on Games Based Learning, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, Scotland, 6-7 October.

Günaydin, M. (2015). Use of mobile devices to support learning-A multiplatform quiz application [Master's thesis, Norwegian University of Science and Technology].

- Hadiri, Y. (2015). Click it to check it: An instructional design module to assist university faculty in using Socrative as a smart student response system for student assessment. Technology, Colleges, and Community Worldwide Online Conference 2015.
- Hamari, J., & Huotari, K. (2012). Defining gamification-A service marketing perspective. Proceedings of the 16th International Academic Mindtrek Conference (pp. 17-22). ACM Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/2393132.2393137>
- Ismail, M. A. A., & Mohammad, J. A. M. (2017). Kahoot: A promising tool for formative assessment in medical education. Education in Medicine Journal, 9(2), 19-26.
<https://doi.org/10.21315/eimj2017.9.2.2>
- Lee, J. W. S., & Shih, M. L. (2015). Teaching practices for the student response system at National Taiwan University. International Journal of Automation and Smart Technology, 5(3), 145-150.
<https://doi.org/10.5875/ausmt.v5i3.862>
- Lee, L. H., Chien, Y. T., Chang, C. Y., Li, T. Y., & Tseng, H. Y. (2016) A preliminary study of short text responses clustering for mobile educational activities. Journal of Library & Information Science Research, 11(1), 47-84.
- Liu, J. H. (2014). Implementation and design of interactive response system in the cloud-based learning portfolio application [Master's thesis, National Taichung University of Education].
- Marshall, J. (2016). Quality teaching: Seven apps that will change the way you teach in the English language arts classroom. Voices from the Middle, 23(4), 66-73.
<https://doi.org/10.58680/vm201628575>
- Merriam, S. B. (2009). Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation. Jossey-Bass.
- Okamura, A. (2006). Two types of strategies used by Japanese scientists, when writing research articles in English. System, 34(1), 68-79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2005.03.006>
- Ono, Y., Ishihara, M., & Yamashiro, M. (2014). Instant text-based feedback systems. Journal of Information Technology and Application in Education, 3(1), 1-8.
<https://doi.org/10.14355/jitae.2014.0301.01>
- Paltridge, B. (2012). Discourse Analysis: An Introduction. Bloomsbury.
<https://doi.org/10.5040/9781350934290>
- Porcaro, P. A., Jackson, D. E., McLaughlin, P. M., & O'Malley, C. J. (2016). Curriculum design of a flipped classroom to enhance haematology learning. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 25(3), 345-357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-015-9599-8>
- Sakamoto, M., & Tamanyu, L. (2014). Mentorship in a Japanese graduate school: Learning through apprenticeship. International Journal of Mentoring and Coaching in Education, 3(1), 32-50.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMCE-12-2012-0078>
- Sanchez, D. R., Langer, M., & Kaur, R. (2020). Gamification in the classroom: Examining the impact of gamified quizzes on student learning. Computers & Education, 144, Article 103666.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103666>
- Shiao, Y. K. (2014). Online interactive discussion learning and a roll call system [Master's thesis, National Cheng Kung University].

Stowell, J. R., & Nelson, J. M. (2007). Benefits of electronic audience response systems on student participation, learning, and emotion. *Teaching of Psychology*, 34(4), 253-258.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00986280701700391>

Swales, J. M. (2004). *Research Genres: Explorations and Applications*. Cambridge University Press.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524827>

Vignier, L. M., & Ferris, S. P. (2014). Enhancing learning through Socrative, a social learning platform. Paper presented at 2014 Lilly International Spring Conference on College and University Teaching and Learning in Bethesda, Maryland.

Wang, A. I., & Lieberoth, A. (2016, October). The effect of points and audio on concentration, engagement, enjoyment, learning, motivation, and classroom dynamics using Kahoot!. In *European Conference on Games Based Learning* (p. 738). Academic Conferences International Limited.

Wang, B. T. (2016). Applying Pbl and Zuvio to enhance English learning motivation. *International Journal of Cyber Society and Education*, 9(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.7903/ijcse.1433>

Wu, Y. C. J., Wu, T., & Li, Y. (2017). Impact of using classroom response systems on students' entrepreneurship learning experience. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 1-12.

Yapıcı, İ. Ü., & Karakoyun, F. (2017). Gamification in Biology Teaching: A Sample of Kahoot Application. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, 8(4), 396-414.

<https://doi.org/10.17569/tojqi.335956>

Yoon, S. Y. (2017). Using learner response systems in EFL Classrooms: Students' perspectives and experience. *Multimedia-Assisted Language Learning*, 20(2), 36-58.

Zainuddin, Z., Shujahat, M., Haruna, H., & Chu, S. K. W. (2020). The role of gamified e-quizzes on student learning and engagement: An interactive gamification solution for a formative assessment system. *Computers & Education*, 145, 103729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103729>

Zareva, A. (2013). Self-mention and the projection of multiple identity roles in TESOL graduate student presentations: The influence of the written academic genres. *English for Specific Purposes*, 32(2), 72-83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2012.11.001>

Zareva, A. (2015). I think I wanna talk about the reasons why I chose my topic: Discourse functions of self-mention in East-Asian TESOL graduate student presentations. *Asia TEFL Journal*, 12(1), 141-168.

Zarzycka-Piskorz, E. (2016). KAHOOT it or not? Can games be motivating in learning grammar?. *Teaching English with Technology*, 16(3), 17-36.

Zikirina, S. (2016). High-Tech tools for formative assessment of students' learning at the English lessons. *European Research*, (8), 89-90.

Chiew Hong Ng, Yin Ling Cheung & Weiyu Zhang The Use of Technology, Digital Tools, and Artificial Intelligence in Academic Writing in Additional Languages: A Review of Empirical Studies from 2014 to 2023

Abbas, M. A., Hammad, S., Hwang, G.J., Khan, S., & Gilani, S. M. M. (2023). An assistive environment for EAL academic writing using formulaic sequences classification. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(1), 407-421. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1789670>

- Alsehibany, R. A., & Abdelhalim, S.M. (2023). Enhancing academic writing vocabulary use through direct corpus consultation: Saudi English majors' perceptions and experiences. *Arab World English Journal*, 14(1), 166-182. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol14no1.11>
- Babni, A. (2019). Computer-mediated communication and language education: Focus on written Communication. *i-manager's Journal on English Language Teaching*, 9(1), 50-58. <https://doi.org/10.26634/jelt.9.1.14781>
- Badger, R., & White, G. (2000). A process genre approach to teaching writing. *ELT Journal*, 54(2), 153-160. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153>
- Bakla, A., & Karakaş, A. (2022). Technology and strategy use in academic writing: Native, native-like versus nonnative speakers of English. *Ibérica*, 44, 285-314. <https://doi.org/10.17398/2340-2784.44.285>
- Bostanci, H. B., & Çavuşoğlu, Ç. (2018). Pen-and-paper or online? An academic writing course to teacher-trainees. *Cogent Education*, 5, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2018.1482606>
- Chen, S.Y., & Tseng, Y.-F. (2021). The impacts of scaffolding e-assessment English learning: A cognitive style perspective. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 34(8), 1105-1127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2019.1661853>
- Chukharev-Hudilainen, E., & Saricaoglu, A. (2016). Causal discourse analyzer: Improving automated feedback on academic ESL writing. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 29(3), 494-516. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2014.991795>
- Coxhead, A. (2012). Academic vocabulary, writing and English for Academic Purposes: Perspectives from second language learners. *RELC Journal*, 43(1), 137-145. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688212439323>
- Ebadi, S., & Alizadeh, A. (2021). The effects of online learner-driven feedback on IELTS writing skills via Google Docs. *Teaching English with Technology*, 21(3), 42-66.
- Engin, M. (2014). Extending the flipped classroom model: Developing second language writing skills through student-created digital videos. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 14(5), 12-26. <https://doi.org/10.14434/josotlv14i5.12829>
- Faisal, F., & Carabella, P. A. (2023). Utilizing Grammarly in an academic writing process: Higher-education students' perceived views. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics*, 8(1), 23-42. <https://doi.org/10.21462/jeltl.v8i1.1006>
- Fan, N., & Ma, Y. (2022). The Effects of Automated Writing Evaluation (AWE) feedback on students' English writing quality: A systematic literature review. *Language Teaching Research Quarterly*, 28, 53-73. <https://doi.org/10.32038/ltrq.2022.28.03>
- Gadomska, A. (2015). Using lego blocks for technology-mediated task-based English language learning. *Teaching English with Technology*, 15(2), 120-132.
- Geng, J., & Razali, A. B. (2022). Effectiveness of the automated writing evaluation program on improving undergraduates' writing performance. *English Language Teaching*, 15(7), 49-60. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v15n7p49>

Golan, R., Reddy, R., Muthigi, A., & Ramasamy, R. (2023). Artificial intelligence in academic writing: Paradigm-shifting technological advance. *Nature Reviews Urology*, 20, 327-328.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41585-023-00746-x>

Hamamah, H., Emaliana, I., Hapsari, Y., Degeng, P. D. D., & Fadillah, A. D. (2023). Using nominal group technique to explore publication challenges and the usefulness of AI based writing technologies: Insights from Indonesian scholars. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 13(8), 2038-2047.

<https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.1308.20>

Han, Y., Zhao, S., & Ng, L.-L. (2021). How technology tools impact writing performance, lexical complexity, and perceived self-regulated learning strategies in EFL academic writing: A comparative study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, Article 752793. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.752793>

Hassanzadeh, M., Saffari, E., & Rezaei, S. (2021). The impact of computer-aided concept mapping on EFL learners' lexical diversity: A process writing experiment. *ReCALL*, 33(3), 214-228.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S095834402100001X>

Hosseinpour, N., Biria, R., & Rezvani, E. (2019). Promoting academic writing proficiency of Iranian EFL learners through blended learning. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education-TOJDE*, 20(4), 99-116. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.640525>

Huang, L.-S. (2010). Seeing eye to eye? The academic writing needs of graduate and undergraduate students from students' and instructors' perspectives. *Language Teaching Research*, 14(4), 517-539.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168810375372>

Huang, S., & Renandya, W. A. (2020). Exploring the integration of automated feedback among lower-proficiency EFL learners. *Innovation in language learning and teaching*, 14(1), 15-26.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2018.1471083>

Hung, H.-C., & Young, S. S.-C. (2015). The effectiveness of adopting E-Readers to facilitate EFL students' process-based academic writing. *Educational Technology & Society*, 18(1), 250-263.

Hyland, K. (2003). Genre-based pedagogies: A social response to process. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 12(1), 17-29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(02\)00124-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(02)00124-8)

Koh, W. Y. (2017). Effective applications of automated writing feedback in process-based writing instruction. *English Teaching*, 72(3), 91-118. <https://doi.org/10.15858/engtea.72.3.201709.91>

Kung, F.-W. (2018). Assessing an innovative advanced academic writing course through blog-assisted language learning: Issues and resolutions. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 55(3), 348-356. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2015.1108213>

Kurniati, E. Y., & Fithriani, R. (2022). Post-graduate students' perceptions of Quillbot utilization in English academic writing class. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics*, 7(3), 2503-1848.

<https://doi.org/10.21462/jeltl.v7i3.852>

Lee, K. W., Said, N., & Tan, C. K. (2016). Exploring the affordances of The Writing Portal (TWP) as an online supplementary writing platform (for the special issue of GLoCALL 2013 and 2014 conference papers). *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 29(6), 1116-1135.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2016.1172644>

Li, F., & Liu, Y. (2018). Can using a discussion-board enhance writing practice for EAP/ESL students? *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 8(5), 467-474. <https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.0805.02>

Lin, C.-C., Liu, G.-Z., & Wang, T.-I. (2017). Development and usability test of an e-learning tool for engineering graduates to develop academic writing in English: A case study. *Educational Technology & Society*, 20(4), 148-161.

Lingard, L. (2023). Writing with ChatGPT: An illustration of its capacity, limitations & implications for academic writers. *Perspectives on Medical Education*, 12(1), 261-270.
<https://doi.org/10.5334/pme.1072>

Ma, L. P. F. (2021). Writing in English as an additional language: Challenges encountered by doctoral students. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 40(6), 1176-1190.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2020.1809354>

Mali, Y. C. G. (2022). The exploration of university students' perceptions of using technology in academic writing classrooms. *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Learning*, 25(1), 107-121. <https://doi.org/10.24071/llt.v25i1.4234>

Milad, M. (2017). Blended learning approach: Integrating reading and writing research skills to improve academic writing. *Arab Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 3(3), 23-55.

Miranty, D., Widiati, U., Cahyono, B. Y., & Sharif, T. I. S. T. (2021). The effectiveness of using Grammarly in teaching writing among Indonesian undergraduate EFL students. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 612, 41-45. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.211212.008>

Mirzaeian, V. R. (2021). The effect of editing techniques on machine translation-informed academic foreign language writing. *The EuroCALL Review*, 29(2), 33-43.
<https://doi.org/10.4995/eurocall.2021.12930>

Mizumoto, A., Hamatani, S., & Imao, Y. (2017). Applying the bundle-move connection approach to the development of an online writing support tool for research articles. *Language Learning*, 67(4), 885-921. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lang.12250>

Mohsen, M. A., & Alshahrani, A. (2019). The effectiveness of using a hybrid mode of automated writing evaluation system on EFL students' writing. *Teaching English with Technology*, 19(1), 118-131.

Nova, M. (2018). Utilizing Grammarly in evaluating academic writing: A narrative research on EFL students experience. *Premise: Journal of English Education and Applied Linguistics*, 7(1), 80-96.
<https://doi.org/10.24127/pj.v7i1.1300>

Parra, G., & Calero, S. X. (2019). Automated writing evaluation tools in the improvement of the writing skill. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(2), 209-226.
<https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2019.12214a>

Pham, V. P. H., & Usaha, S. (2016). Blog-based peer response for L2 writing revision. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 29(4), 724-748. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2015.1026355>

Pourdana, N. (2022). Impacts of computer assisted diagnostic assessment on sustainability of L2 learners' collaborative writing improvement and their engagement modes. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, 7(11), 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-022-00139-4>

Poverjuc, O. (2011). The experiences of feedback practices on academic writing undergone by students with English as an additional language in a master's programme: A multiple case study. *Journal of Academic Writing*, 1(1), 143-152. <https://doi.org/10.18552/joaw.v1i1.17>

- Saricaoglu, A. (2019). The impact of automated feedback on L2 learners' written causal explanations. *ReCALL*, 31(2), 189-203. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S095834401800006X>
- Scholnik, M. (2018). Digital tools in academic writing? *Journal of Academic Writing*, 8(1), 121-130. <https://doi.org/10.18552/joaw.v8i1.360>
- Sevcikova, B. L. (2018). Online open-source writing aid as a pedagogical tool. *English Language Teaching*, 11(8), 126-141. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v11n8p126>
- Shayakhmetova, L., Mukharlyamova, L., Zhussupova, R., & Beisembayeva, Z. (2020). Developing collaborative academic writing skills in English in CALL Classroom. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 9(8), 13-18. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n8p13>
- Strobl, C., Ailhaud, E., Benetosc, K., Devittd, A., Krusee, O., Proskef, A., & Rappg, C. (2019). Digital support for academic writing: A review of technologies and pedagogies. *Computers & Education*, 31, 22-48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.12.005>
- Tarade, S. S., Golubić, L. T., & Slamić, M. (2023). Digital transformation of academic writing. *Acta Graphica*, 31(1), 27-34. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003390893-3>
- Taskiran, A., & Goksel, N. (2022). Automated feedback and teacher feedback: Writing achievement in learning English as a foreign language at a distance. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education-TOJDE*, 23(2), 120-139. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.1096260>
- Termsinsuk, S. (2015). Development of a blended instructional model via weblog to enhance English summary writing ability of Thai undergraduate students. *The IAFOR Journal of Education*, special edition, 123-138. <https://doi.org/10.22492/ije.3.se.08>
- Thienthong, A., & Suppasetseree, S. (2016). A WordPress-based instructional model for developing semantically-driven academic writing lessons for Thai EFL university students. *Language Education and Acquisition Research Network Journal*, 9(2), 22-43.
- Yang, Y.-F. (2016). Transforming and constructing academic knowledge through online peer feedback in summary writing. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 29(4), 683-702. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2015.1016440>
- Yousofi, R. (2022). Grammarly deployment (in)efficacy within EFL academic writing classrooms: An attitudinal report from Afghanistan. *Cogent Education*, 9, 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2022.2142446>
- Zakaria, N. Y. K., & Hashim, H. (2020). Game-based assessment in academic writing course for pre-service teachers. *TESOL International Journal*, 15(1), 65-73.
- Zinkevich, N. A., & Ledeneva, T. V. (2021). Using Grammarly to enhance students' academic writing skills. *Professional Discourse & Communication*, 3(4), 51-63. <https://doi.org/10.24833/2687-0126-2021-3-4-51-63>
- Zulfa, S., Dewi, R. A., Hidayat, D. N., Hamid, F., & Defianty, M. (2023). The use of AI and technology tools in developing students' English academic writing skills. *International Conference on Education*, 47-63.

Cátia Martins, Samuel Figueira-Cardoso & Fernanda Reiff **Teaching Portuguese as an Additional Language and Academic Writing: The Co-Construction of Multilingual Identities in Telecollaboration Activities between Brazil and Canada**

Angrosino, M. V. (2009). Etnografia e observação participante. Artmed.

Arenas, F. (2011). Lusophone Africa: Beyond Independence. University of Minnesota Press.

<https://doi.org/10.5749/minnesota/9780816669837.001.0001>

Bailey, S. (2015). The Essentials of Academic Writing for International Students (4th ed.). Routledge.

<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315715346>

Bakhtin, M., & Volochinov, V. N. (2006). Marxismo e filosofia da linguagem (Vol. 7). Hucitec.

Barzotto, V. H., Dias, M. R., & Eufrásio, D. (2018). Buscando alternativas para las prácticas de escritura en la universidad: producir conocimiento. In C. Núñez, V. H. Barzotto, & S. Tobón (Eds.), *Prácticas docentes y transformación de las aulas. Rutas de investigación educativa en Brasil, Colombia y México* (pp. 369-389). Sello Editorial Universidad de Medellín.

<http://hdl.handle.net/11407/6220>

Beaugrande, R. A. de (1997). *New Foundations for a Science of Text and Discourse*. Ablex.

Blackledge, A., Creese, A., & Takhi, J. K. (2013). Language, superdiversity and education. In S. May (Ed.), *The Multilingual Turn: Implications for SLA, TESOL and Bilingual Education* (pp. 59-80). Routledge.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-266-2_4

Cavalcante, M., Custódio Filho, V., & Brito, M. A. P. (2014). Coerência, referenciação e ensino. Cortez.

Chauhan, P. (2022). Fundamentals of academic writing: A literature review. *Journal of NELTA*, 27(1-2), 161-180. <https://doi.org/10.3126/nelta.v27i1-2.53201>

Defazio, J., Jones, J., Tennant, F., & Hook, S. A. (2010). Academic literacy: The importance and impact of writing across the curriculum - a case study. *The Journal of Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 10(2), 34-47.

Dolz, J., & Schneuwly, B. (2004). Gêneros e progressão em expressão oral e escrita: elementos para reflexões sobre uma abordagem curricular. In J. Dolz, M. Noverraz, & B. Schneuwly (Eds.), *Gêneros orais e escritos na escola* (pp. 41-73). Mercado de Letras.

Figueira-Cardoso, S. (2022). A construção de objetos de discurso em produções textuais descritivas na aula de PLA: o caso A Cuca e o pescador. *Portuguese Language Journal*, 16.

<https://portugueselanguagejournal.com/index.php/home/article/view/74>

<https://doi.org/10.56515/PLJ562476691>

Fonseca, J. Z. B. (2019). Universidade e produção de conhecimento na formação inicial: uma estratégia didática para o ensino da escrita acadêmica. *Trabalhos em Linguística Aplicada*, 58(3), 1264-1281. <https://doi.org/10.1590/010318135475015832019>

Fonseca, J. Z. B. (2021). Escrita acadêmica e (re) construção do dizer: a busca pela autoria do texto na reapropriação da palavra alheia. *Revista do Sell*, 10(1), 21-40. <https://doi.org/10.18554/rs.v10i1.5287>

- Fonseca, J. Z. B. (2023). A constituição da palavra na área de Filosofia: um cenário da escrita acadêmica em Moçambique. *Horizontes*, 41(1), Article e023045. <https://doi.org/10.24933/horizontes.v41i1.1532>
- García, O., Flores, N., & Woodley, H. (2012). Transgressing Monolingualism and Bilingual Dualities: Translanguaging Pedagogies. In A. Yiakoumetti (Ed.), *Harnessing Linguistic Variation to Improve Education* (pp. 45-75). Peter Lang.
- García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: language, bilingualism, and education*. Palgrave Macmillan. <http://doi.org/10.1057/9781137385765>
- Geraldi, J. W. (2003). *Portos de passagem* (4th ed.). Martins Fontes.
- Gibbons, P. (2015). *Scaffolding Language, Scaffolding Learning: Teaching English Language Learners in the Mainstream Classroom* (2nd ed.). Heinemann.
- Giltrow, J., Gooding, R., Burgoyne, D., & Sawatsky, M. (2014). *Academic Writing: An Introduction* (3rd ed.). Broadview Press.
- Gottlieb, M., & Ernst-Slavit, G. (2013). Academic language: A centerpiece for academic success in English language arts. In M. Gottlieb, & G. Ernst-Slavit (Eds.), *Academic Language in Diverse Classrooms* (pp. 1-38). Corwin.
- Grabe, W., & Kaplan, R. B. (1996). *Theory and Practice of Writing*. Longman.
- Greene, S., & Lidinsky, A. (2015). *From Inquiry to Academic Writing: A Text and Reader* (3rd ed.). Bedford/St. Martin's.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). *Language as Social Semiotic*. Edward Arnold.
- Hryniuk, K. (2018). Polish EFL learners' perceptions of learning writing for high-stakes secondary school graduation examinations. *Acta Philologica*, 53, 5-16.
- Hryniuk, K. (2019). Non-Natives Writing for Anglo-American Journals: Challenges and Urgent Needs. *Wydawnictwa Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego*. <https://doi.org/10.31338/uw.9788323536857>
- Johnson, A. (2016). *Academic Writing: Process and Product*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.5771/9781475823578>
- Kleiman, A. (1995). Modelos de letramento e as práticas de alfabetização na escola. In A. Kleiman (Ed.), *Os significados do letramento: uma nova perspectiva sobre a prática social da escrita* (pp. 15-61). Mercado de Letras.
- Koch, I. G. V., & Elias, V. M. (2010). *Ler e escrever: estratégias de produção textual*. Contexto.
- Kramsch, C. (1993). *Language and Culture*. Oxford University Press.
- Krenak, A. A. L. (2020). *O amanhã não está à venda*. Companhia das Letras.
- Lea, M., & Street, B. (1998). Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. *Studies in Higher Education*, 23(2), 157-172. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079812331380364>
- Levy, P. (2001). *Cyberculture*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). *How languages are learned*. Oxford Handbooks for Languages Teachers, 4th edition. Oxford University Press.

- Long, M. H. (2000). *Second Language Acquisition: Task-Based Language Teaching*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Ma, J. (2023). Perspective Chapter: Developing a Semiotic Awareness of Argumentation in Academic Writing for Studies in Higher Education. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.109507>
- Majchrzak, O. (2018). *Learner Identity and Learner Beliefs in EFL Writing*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69560-0>
- Marcuschi, L. A. (2008). *Produção textual, análise de gêneros e compreensão*. Parábola.
- Marques, S. M. K., & Bonvino, M. A. B. (2020). O processo de internacionalização e o ensino e aprendizagem de língua inglesa no contexto de uma universidade estadual. *Estudos Linguísticos*, 49(1), 510-527. <https://doi.org/10.21165/el.v49i1.2530>
- Miller, C. (1994). Genre as Social Action. In A. Freedman, & P. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric* (pp. 23-42). Taylor & Francis.
- Moraes, R. (2015). *Multiletramentos na escola: O digital e o analógico*. Parábola.
- Moura, G., & Bruz, I. M. (2021). Internacionalização como prática local na formação de professores de língua: telecolaboração Brasil-Canadá. *Revista Multilíngüe de Lengua, Sociedad y Educación*, 98. <https://doi.org/10.47133/NEMITYRA2021109>
- Norton, B. (2012). *Identity and Language Learning*. Multilingual Matters. <https://doi.org/10.2307/jj.18799909>
- Ochs, E. (2008). Language socialization and identity. In A. Duranti (Ed.), *Linguistic anthropology: A reader* (2nd ed.) (pp. 231-249). Blackwell Publishing.
- Paiva, V. L. M. O. (2017). Language teaching identity: a fractal system. In G. Barkhuizen (Ed.), *Reflections on Language Teacher Identity Research* (pp. 258-263). Routledge.
- Pennycook, A. (2001). *Critical Applied Linguistics: A Critical Introduction*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410600790>
- Rojo, R. (2009). *Letramentos múltiplos, escola e inclusão social*. Parábola.
- Schillings, M., Roebertsen, H., Savelberg, H., & Dolmans, D. (2023). A review of educational dialogue strategies to improve academic writing skills. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 24(2), 95-108. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787418810663>
- Selber, S. A. (2004). *Multiliteracies for a Digital Age*. Southern Illinois University Press.
- Signorini, I., & Cavalcanti, M. C. (2010). Língua, linguagem e mediação tecnológica. *Trabalhos em Linguística Aplicada, Campinas*, 49(2), 419-440. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-18132010000200007>
- Swales, J. (1990). *Genre Analysis: English in Academic and Research Settings*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tarnopolsky, O. (2000). Writing English as a foreign language: A report from Ukraine. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 9(3), 209-226. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743\(00\)00026-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1060-3743(00)00026-6)
- Warchał, K. (2007). Necessity and obligation in written academic discourse: MUST in research articles. *PASE Papers*, 1, 226-247.

Warchał, K., & Zakrajewski, P. (2023). Multilingual publication practices in the social sciences and humanities at a Polish university: Choices and pressures. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 20(3), 801-824. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14790718.2021.1966432>

Wei, L. (2011). Moment analysis and translanguaging space: Discursive construction of identities by multilingual Chinese youth in Britain. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(5), 1222-1235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2010.07.035>

Zavala, V. (2011). La escritura académica y la agencia de los sujetos. *Cuadernos Comillas*, 1(56), 52-66.